

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 TAMARA LOHR and RAVIKIRAN  
11 SINDOGI, on behalf of themselves and all  
12 others similarly situated,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC., and  
16 NISSAN MOTOR CO., LTD.,

17 Defendants.

Case No. C16-1023RSM

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR OVER-  
LENGTH BRIEFING

18 This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Nissan North America, Inc.  
19 (“NNA”)’s Motion to file over-length briefing for its upcoming response to Plaintiffs’ Motion  
20 for Class Certification “and any *Daubert* motions to be filed by NNA.” Dkt. #110. NNA  
21 requests “up to 10 additional pages” for its opposition and “up to an additional 8 pages” for  
22 each *Daubert* motion, arguing that this case “involves complex and technical subject matter,  
23 and therefore additional pages are necessary for NNA to address the issues thoroughly.” *Id.* at  
24 2. NNA provides no further detail.

25  
26 “Motions seeking approval to file an over-length motion or brief are disfavored.” LCR  
27 7(f). Many cases that come before this Court involve complex and technical subject matter,  
28

1 including proposed class actions, and the parties manage to file the above briefing within  
2 applicable page limits. NNA has failed to adequately explain to the Court how the situation  
3 here is different, or why additional pages are necessary.

4 Having reviewed NNA's Motion and the remainder of the record, the Court hereby finds  
5 and ORDERS that NNA's Motion for Over-length Briefing, Dkt. #110, is DENIED.  
6

7 DATED this 26<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2021.  
8

9 

10 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
11 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28